# At the Crossroads in the Opioid Overdose **Epidemic: Will Evidence-Based "Radical" but Rational Drug Policy Strategies Prevail?**

Gerald J. Stahler, PhD, Jeremy Mennis, PhD, and Steven Belenko, PhD

#### **ABOUT THE AUTHORS**

Gerald J. Stahler and Jeremy Mennis are with the Department of Geography and Urban Studies, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA. Steven Belenko is with the Department of Criminal Justice, Temple University.

See also Dietze, p. 745, and Ray et al., p. 750.

he current opioid drug overdose epidemic shows little sign of abating as more than 100 000 Americans needlessly died of drug overdose in 2022. US efforts to mitigate this health crisis have been insufficient, and we need to reexamine our current drug policy priorities, practices, and strategies to ensure that they are based on science and empirical evidence. Ray et al., in this issue of AJPH (p. 750), examined the effects of police drug seizures on overdose in Indianapolis, Indiana, and found a consistent pattern of increased drug overdoses. The authors' findings suggest three strategic public health priorities that we believe are needed to improve our nation's response to the current opioid overdose crisis: (1) prioritizing cross-system and interorganizational collaborations to improve the effectiveness of existing health care and social service resources, (2) expanding the use of innovative geospatial data and methods to improve contextual understanding of

policy and program effects, and (3) prioritizing demand reduction by expanding current harm-reduction approaches and implementing promising new "radical" but rational evidence-based interventions to save lives.

## **IMPROVING INTERORGANIZATIONAL LINKS**

Ray et al. advocate greater collaboration among law enforcement and social service agencies that serve people who use drugs to prevent overdoses following police drug seizures. Prioritizing increased interorganizational links and collaborations is essential not only between law enforcement and service organizations but also across the many institutions and health care and social service systems already involved in mitigating addiction. The effectiveness of existing service system resources in the health care, addiction treatment, mental health, and social services sectors can

be far more effective through lowered barriers and increased coordination, collaboration, linkages, and integration with one another to better serve the multiplicity of needs of people struggling with addiction. The siloing of these systems of care and lack of integration limits access to treatment and other needed services, especially for people of color, who are disproportionately affected by structural barriers such as poverty, racism, and stigmatization.

Unfortunately, fostering and sustaining these collaborations to reduce overdose is difficult given the disparate missions and cultures of different systems and agencies. The field of implementation science provides theoretical frameworks, interventions, measures, and tools to improve the uptake and implementation of evidence-based practices across systems, such as local change teams, needs assessments, coaching and feedback, and datadriven decision-making.<sup>2</sup> A good example of this approach involves the National Institute on Drug Abuse's Justice Community Opioid Innovation Network (JCOIN) initiative. Because of the high prevalence of opioid use disorder among people under criminal justice supervision, this network's projects are using implementation science methods to guide the development and evaluation of interventions that facilitate and sustain linkages between criminal justice systems and treatment organizations to improve access to opioid use disorder services.3

### **USING INNOVATIVE GEOSPATIAL METHODS**

Ray et al. also illustrate the significant contributions that geospatial analysis can make to understanding and addressing addiction. Geospatial data capture key social and environmental determinants of health that relate to substance use, such as neighborhood disadvantage, segregation, and access to health care. 4 Novel mapping and geospatial statistical techniques, such as spatiotemporal clustering, use such data to reveal how environmental and biopsychosocial factors interact to influence substance use behaviors and treatment outcomes, both among individuals and across communities.<sup>5</sup> Interdisciplinary collaboration between public health researchers and geospatial scientists is key to advancing the effectiveness of drug policies, prevention, and intervention programs aimed at reducing overdose and other harms.

# PROMISING RADICAL HARM-REDUCTION POLICIES

Although opioid overprescribing may have fueled the overdose crisis by increasing drug supply,<sup>6</sup> Ray et al. illustrate the limitations and complexities of relying on supply-side interventions targeting the illicit drug market. Consistent with previous research concerning local drug supply disruptions, the increased incidence of overdose in their study likely resulted from reduced tolerance among users who cannot easily access opioids, increased use of unfamiliar fentanyl-contaminated polydrug combinations, and seeking out unfamiliar drug sellers who provide drugs with unknown potency.7

Between 2014 and 2022, federal funding for supply reduction efforts (e.g., law enforcement and interdiction) comprised 52% of the federal drug control budget. We are encouraged that the fiscal year 2023 budget request for President Biden's administration's latest national drug control strategy devotes

57% to demand reduction. The strategy includes harm reduction as one of its seven major policy priorities, along with advancing racial equity in drug policy and expanding recovery support services (https://bit.ly/43H7U6u; https://bit.ly/3MW3nqS). Also, recent federal regulatory changes will increase access to buprenorphine and naloxone.

Unfortunately, even with massive efforts to link existing systems, expand prevention programs, and increase access to evidence-based opioid use disorder treatment, there will still be people who use drugs who do not have an opioid use disorder but still use opioids, people with opioid use disorder who are either not yet ready to engage in treatment or will never seek treatment, and many for whom treatment has been either effective or ineffective who return to drug use. The increasingly lethal illicit street drug supply, in which fentanyl, xylazine, and other dangerous adulterants predominate, places these people at great risk for overdose.

You cannot treat the dead. It is for groups of high-risk people that two additional so-called "radical" but promising evidence-based harm-reduction interventions are needed to save lives: overdose prevention sites and safe supply policies.

Research evidence suggests that overdose prevention sites (i.e., safe injection sites), where people who use drugs inject under medical supervision, are effective in reducing overdose deaths and serve as a low barrier gateway to treatment and other services without increasing opioid use or crime. <sup>8,9</sup> More than 160 sites have been implemented in Europe, Canada, and Australia, and two sites are currently operating in New York City, with others planned in Rhode Island and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. <sup>9</sup> Rigorous

evaluations informed by implementation science should be undertaken to assess the implementation and effectiveness of these sites, including how best to facilitate low barrier linkages to treatment and other services.

Serious consideration should also be given to modifying current prescribing regulations to examine safe supply interventions, such as heroin-assisted treatment, in which pharmaceutical grade opioids (e.g., hydromorphone and diacetylmorphine) are prescribed to divert people who use drugs to safer alternatives and away from the unregulated illicit drug market, where there is high risk for overdose. 10 One recent systematic review found substantial evidence of heroin-assisted treatment effectiveness in increased treatment retention and reduced illegal drug use compared with methadone maintenance treatment. 11

As Ray et al. illustrate, addressing the opioid overdose crisis strictly through supply-side interventions is unlikely to be successful, as the United States' long history of prohibitionist drug control policies have shown.<sup>6</sup> Considering the current lethality of the opioid crisis, greater interorganizational linkages and collaborations are needed among treatment, health care, social services, criminal justice, and harm-reduction organizations to reduce treatment barriers and increase access to needed services. Harm-reduction interventions should be scaled up for those most at risk for overdose, including overdose prevention sites and safe supply prescribing policies, and rigorously evaluated with both traditional research and innovative geospatial and implementation science methodologies. Our systems of care need to provide equitable, nonstigmatizing, and respectful services for all individuals who currently

use drugs regardless of their stage in the recovery continuum. 12 AJPH

#### **CORRESPONDENCE**

Correspondence should be sent to Gerald Stahler, PhD, Department of Geography and Urban Studies, 343 Gladfelter Hall, 1115 Pollett Walk, Temple University (025-27), Philadelphia, PA 19122 (e-mail: jstahler@temple.edu). Reprints can be ordered at http://www.ajph.org by clicking the "Reprints" link.

#### **PUBLICATION INFORMATION**

Full Citation: Stahler GJ, Mennis J, Belenko S. At the crossroads in the opioid overdose epidemic: will evidence-based "radical" but rational drug policy strategies prevail? Am | Public Health. 2023; 113(7):747-749.

Acceptance Date: April 15, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2023.307320

#### **CONTRIBUTORS**

G.J. Stahler conceptualized the editorial and outlined the first draft. All authors drafted and edited the editorial.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

G. J. Stahler and S. Belenko were supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Helping to End Addiction Long-Term Initiative through the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), Justice Community Opioid Innovation Network (award number 1U01DA050442-01; Rosemarie Martin, principal investigator).

We would like to thank Jose Benitez and Silvana Mazzella for their insights over many years regarding harm reduction.

Note. The funders had no role in the preparation of this editorial. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or NIDA

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

G.J. Stahler serves as an unpaid member of the board of directors of Prevention Point Philadelphia, a nonprofit harm-reduction organization, and as an unpaid member of a committee of the board of directors of Gaudenzia, Inc, a nonprofit drug treatment organization. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Ahmad FB, Cisewski JA, Rossen LM, Sutton P. Provisional drug overdose death counts. February 15, 2023. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ nvss/vsrr/drug-overdose-data.htm. Accessed January 28, 2023.
- 2. Powell BJ, Waltz TJ, Chinman MJ, et al. A refined compilation of implementation strategies: results from the Expert Recommendations for Implementing Change (ERIC) project. Implement Sci.

- 2015;10:21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-015-0209-1
- 3. Ducharme LJ, Wiley TRA, Mulford CF, Su ZI, Zur JB. Engaging the justice system to address the opioid crisis: the Justice Community Opioid Innovation Network (JCOIN). J Subst Abuse Treat. 2021;128:108307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat. 2021.108307
- 4. Mennis J, Stahler GJ, Mason MJ. Risky substance use environments and addiction: a new frontier for environmental justice research. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2016;13(6):607. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijerph13060607
- 5. Stahler GJ, Mennis J. Geospatial technology and the "exposome": new perspectives on addiction. Am | Public Health. 2013;103(8):1354-1356. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301306
- 6. Gottschalk M. The opioid crisis: the war on drugs is over. Long live the war on drugs. Annu Rev Criminol. 2023;6:363-398. https://doi.org/10. 1146/annurev-criminol-030421-040140
- 7. Beletsky L, Davis CS. Today's fentanyl crisis: prohibition's iron law, revisited. Int | Drug Policy. 2017;46:156-159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. drugpo.2017.05.050
- 8. Naeem AH, Davis CS, Samuels EA. The importance of federal action supporting overdose-prevention centers. N Engl | Med. 2022;386(21):1965-1967. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2119764
- 9. Stahler GJ. Safe Injection Sites as a Public Health Response to the Opioid Crisis: Research Evidence and Policy Recommendations. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Public Policy Lab; 2022. Public Policy Brief No. 16. Available at: https://drive. google.com/file/d/1LbHUQdKgqhn2TXe7LkwH FWGEXtKVyg1M/view. Accessed April 18, 2023.
- 10. McNeil R, Fleming T, Mayer S, et al. Implementation of safe supply alternatives during intersecting COVID-19 and overdose health emergencies in British Columbia, Canada, 2021. Am J Public Health. 2022;112(suppl 2):S151-S158. https://doi. org/10.2105/AIPH.2021.306692
- 11. McNair R, Monaghan M, Montgomery P. Heroin assisted treatment for key health outcomes in people with chronic heroin addictions: a contextfocused systematic review. Drug Alcohol Depend. [In press]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep. 2023.109869
- 12. Volkow ND. Drug overdose deaths in 2020 were horrifying. Radical change is needed to address the drug crisis. National Institute on Drug Abuse. August 31, 2021. Available at: https://nida.nih.gov/ about-nida/noras-blog/2021/08/drug-overdosedeaths-in-2020-were-horrifying-radical-changeneeded-to-address-drug-crisis. Accessed March 26, 2023.



APHA PRESS

JONES & BARTLETT

EARNING

Copyright of American Journal of Public Health is the property of American Public Health Association and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.